

meeting. For example, in *In re Rust*, 1 B.R. 656, 657 (Bankr. M.D. Tenn. 1979), the bankruptcy court dismissed a truck driver's case where his employment required that he be out of town for substantial, irregular periods of time. Debtors receive ample notice of the date for the meeting of creditors, and it is the responsibility of debtor's counsel to ensure that the clients attend. *In re Leies*, 81 B.R. 723 (Bankr. S.D. Fla. 1988). Moreover, rescheduling the 341 meeting inconveniences creditors and creates administrative problems for the court. *In re Rust*, 1 B.R. 656, 657 (Bankr. M.D. Tenn. 1979). Indeed, it is the debtor's physical appearance and testimony at the meeting of creditors that leads the trustee, in many cases, to discover assets for the benefit of the estate. *Id.* For all of the reasons recited, the court has entered an Order dismissing the case of William N. Hall.

September 5, 2001

DAVID T. STOSBERG
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

